Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/775,485	VENKATESAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fatoumata Traore	2136
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Fatoumata Traore</u> .	(3)	
(2) Bea Koempel-Thomas(Reg. No. 58213).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 August 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 1-38		. •
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To fit the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a we record of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the interview a restriction was discussed, whereby the claims were directed to two different inventions. (Group I: Claims 1-8, 27-38 classified in 380/37) and group II: claims 9-26 classified in 380/28). Applicant elected Group I (claims 1-8, 27-38) without traverse and authorized the examiner to cancel non-elected claims 9-26.

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

8,14107